Attendees: Paul Grover, Stephany Haack, Adrian Canilho-Burke, Heba Mohammad, Megan Leonard

Call to Order – 6:30pm

Discuss RHAA complaint with Student President Mohammad (major points):

- Issues with ambiguety of RHAA Bylaws conflicting with SGA Constitution
- The RHAA President resigned beginning of the Fall 2012 semester, but Vice President and President were never legitimized by RHAA body. Currently, we have an illegitimate President; this was due to conflicts of SGA election timeline of Spring semester 2012 (RHAA Presidential position never applied for election).
- Since last year (spring 2012) RHAA has 7 pieces of legislation in progress. 2 legislative pieces have been enacted (note: wording issue of the legislation can't pass one and have others make sense). RHAA approved positions of Standing Chairs, but never approved them as Executive positions. Thus, they lost Executive positions and never replaced them.
- Today (11/07/2012) ratified duties of positions. Legitimacy still in question.
- RHAA Bylaws of last year: Art. 4 part b –Newly elected officers should take office no later than two (2) weeks before the end of the school year. Is inconsistent with SGA constitution.
- **©** RHAA Bylaws of last year: Art. 4 part c, sec 5 Executive members are responsible for encouraging potential candidates for the following year's executive board. Is there record of RHAA Executives fulfilling this expectation?
- **Output** Quorum is at RHAA Presidential discretion.
- The Standing Committees are not exec, but get paid as though they were.

Questions asked by Associate Justice Canilho-Burke:

1.) If the Standing Committee Chair positions are in question, they are briefly mentioned in Article 3 in the RHAA Bylaws and the respective subsections (but as stated earlier, there is not description of their duties in RHAA). If their granted authority is implied and not literal, please inform us as to the extent of their practiced power so we can better gauge their constitutionality.

Heba: Nothing tangible. 2 of 3 approved last week. First appointed other two were elected. Elected before being legitimate positions.

2.) A personal point of inquiry pertains to the use of the term "body" in your email. Are you referring to RHAA executive board? At Large Members? General RHAA membership? Student body as a whole? RHAA Bylaws seem to have other vague terminology as "constituency" and distinctions between franchised groups of general members, at large members, and exec members.

Heba: Body – anyone with a voting right. Each building gets vote, contemp 2, trads get 1 per building, freshmen buildings each have one, Roy has 3 (1 per floor). Community (fresh two groups of three, gets a vote, contemps 2 per community, CAB representative body gets 1 vote, NRHH gets one vote, At-Large SGA, Disabilities rep, multicultural rep, international, GTP rep, two RA positions. General membership get spectatorial privilege but not electoral rights. elect a rep from that community. CC gets

3.) What or who are Building Representatives?

^{*}Answered in previous question*

4.) Seeing as the RHAA section of the SGA website has not been updated in 2 years, where can we find their minutes?

Heba: They email them, but have not posted them to any website.

Final Points:

- RHAA may need oversight on proper restructuring and in determining the legitimacy of the Standing Chair positions.
- Can we legitimitely acknowledge these new positions?
- Did RHAA legitimately pass their bylaws with 2/3 vote of body? Package approval?

10 minute recess 7:00pm

Reconvene – 7:10pm

Student President Mohammad and Chief of Staff Leonard left.

Court Plan:

- Meet with RHAA President Andrew Haugen.
- Request that he brings a member list, voting list, minutes, descriptions of official duties, and explain the structural changes of RHAA.
- Offer meeting dates: 11/13/2012 3:30pm, 11/14/2012 6:30pm, 11/15/2012 3:30pm

Motion to Adjourn – 7:41pm Approved 3/3